Table A: Site Visit Verification Findings, Diversion Tonnage and Deductions for the Sacramento County/City of Citrus Heights Regional Agency Material Regional Verification Generator Type/Prgrm Agency Claim Identification Activity (tons) **NBY Methodology** Findings (tons) Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology The Board staff determined the methodology to quantify the backyard composting to be adequate. From that the study concluded that 2,537 tons of materials were composted by residents in 2001. The RA also quantified diversion from backyard composting through the number of attendees at workshops from 1993-2001 (10,690 total). The RA used the same allocation percentages and lbs/per household by conversion factor as used in the study mentioned above. The end result was 3,680 tons of diversion claimed. The RA did not actually survey these attendees to determine if they were still 577lbs/hh/vr fdr drass Residential backyard 225lbs/hh/yr for composting. Although the 3,680 tons claimed is small relative to the overall generation amount, this greenwaste and foodwaste methodology makes assumptions that are not substantiated through sufficient data. composting food waste 3.679.00 2,537.00 3.679.00 Subtotal #1 2.537.00 1.142.00 Residential The Regional Agency did not verify the methodology (# of mulch mower sales and its correlation of arasscyclina grass clipping 350lbs/sqft/yr grasscycling practice and amount), they used to calculate the estimated amount. 16,865.00 14.674.00 0.00 Subtotal #2 14,674.00 0.00 16,865.00 2,191.00 16,865.00 Weights are tracked on an outbound scale after they are processed for recycling. Business contact verified the tonnage amount claimed. This program started in 1998. There was a miscalculation in Inerts (e.g., the allocation of the diversion tonnage due to the incorrect application of the restricted waste criteria. concrete and The correction to this tonnage is reflected in the "verification finding" column. The restricted waste Recycler (Granite) asphalt) 66.043.00 Scale Weights 75.981.60 criteria were met. Subtotal #3 66.043.00 75.981.60 The City surveyed 19 thrift stores and used conversion factors for items they catalogued. However, some stores did not respond or refused to meet with the City staff; therefore, the City used a conversion factors for conversion factor (lbs/employee) to estimate the diversion amount. The Board staff recommends only Thrift Stores 11,401.00 Various each item 5,810.47 9,330.00 to accept the surveyed amount. Subtotal #4 11,401.00 5,810.47 9,330.00 5.590.53 2.071.00 Tonnage amounts are substantiated with weight receipts, and origin of material is substantiated by a detailed recordkeeping system this company maintains. There was a miscalculation in the allocation Inerts (e.g., of the diversion tonnage due to the incorrect application of the restricted waste criteria. The concrete and correction to this tonnage is reflected in the "verification finding" column. The restricted waste criteria Recycler (Teichert) asphalt) 51.010.00 Scale Weights 58.687.00 were met. Subtotal #6 51.010.00 58.687.00 -7.677.00 Inerts (e.g., concrete and Tonnage amounts are substantiated with weight receipts, and origin of material was substantiated Recycler (County) asphalt) 871.00 Scale Weights 871.00 because all County projects are for County roads. The restricted waste criteria were met. Subtotal #7 871.00 871.00 0.00 Board Meeting February 11, 2003

February 11. 2	003					Attachment 3
Generator Identification	Material Type/Prgrm Activity	Regional Agency Claim (tons)	NBY Metho	dology	Verification Findings (tons)	Verification Findings/Site Visit Methodology
Recycler (California	Inerts (e.g., concrete and					Tonnage amounts are based upon weight tickets and origin of material was confirmed by the
Concrete Crushing)	asphalt)	39467.00	Scale Weights		45,406.00	Recycler contact person. The restricted waste criteria were met.
Subtotal #8		39,467.00			45,406.00	-5,939.00
Recycler (Riverside Aggregates)	Inerts (e.g., concrete and asphalt)	36,479.00	Scale Weights		41,966.00	Tonnage amounts are based upon weight tickets and origin of material was confirmed by the Recycler contact person. The restricted waste criteria were met.
Subtotal #9		36,479.00			41,966.00	-5,487.00
Recycler (Roseville Aggregates) Subtotal #10	Inerts (e.g., concrete and asphalt)	28,676.00 28,676.00	Scale Weights		32,988.00 32,988.00	Tonnage amounts are based upon weight tickets and origin of material was confirmed by the Recycler contact person. The restricted waste criteria were met. -4,312.00
Subtotal #10	Inerts (e.g.,	20,070.00			32,900.00	-4,312.00
Recycler (EBI)	concrete and asphalt)	37,339.00	Scale Weights		42,956.00	Tonnage amounts are based upon weight tickets and origin of material was confirmed by the Recycler contact person. The restricted waste criteria were met.
Subtotal #11		37,339.00	1		42,956.00	-5,617.00
ADC	greenwaste, C&D, MRF fines	14,274.00	Actual we	iahts	11,545.00	The submitted tonnage was different from reported ADC tonnage in the DRS; therefore, it was corrected.
		14,274.00		3	11,545.00	2,729.00
CRT Recycling	CRT	151.00	Actual we	ights	0.00	CRT is hazardous waste; therefore the amount was deducted.
, ü		151.00			0.00	151.00
TOTAL		315,973.00	32	2,267.60	386,096.07	-16,012.60 -27,167.07
Disposal Modification						
Dianocal		679409 00			694 024 00	The Regional Agency submitted the disposal modification request after submittal of certification form. The Board staff reviewed the request and the latest information was used for the staff certification
Disposal		678498.00			681,021.00	form.
		ا ر				
1						